# **Nitrogen Dioxide**

#### One of the gases in smog.

Simon Cotton University of Birmingham, UK

Molecule of the Month December 2012 Also available: JSMol version.

## Tell me something about nitrogen dioxide...

Of course, it was John Lennon's favourite molecule.

# Go on then, why was it John Lennon's favourite molecule?

Because it is ONO...

### ONO!

Yes, that is the sequence of atoms in nitrogen dioxide. O-N-O.

## Just like carbon dioxide?

Except that  $NO_2$  is a V-shaped molecule, and  $CO_2$  is linear.

## Why are NO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> different shapes?

At first sight,  $NO_2$  seems similar to  $CO_2$ , carbon dioxide. But an  $NO_2$  molecule contains one more electron than  $CO_2$ . If an electron is removed from  $NO_2$ , you get the  $NO_2^+$  (nitronium or nitryl) ion. It is isoelectronic with  $CO_2$ , having two N=O double bonds and no unpaired electrons, so repulsion between the two regions of electron density is minimised by the 180° bond angle, and it is linear, as with  $CO_2$ .



Neutral NO<sub>2</sub> has one more electron, which is accommodated in an orbital on the nitrogen atom. This introduces extra repulsions. The single-electron region is not as electron-rich as the N-O multiple bonds, so it does not have their repulsive power. Thus the bond angle is 134°, rather than the 120° expected if the repulsions between the electron-rich areas were identical.







 $NO_2^-$  has one more electron than  $NO_2$ , so it has a non-bonding pair ("lone pair") of electrons on nitrogen. This exerts a greater repulsion than the single electron in  $NO_2$ , so the O-N-O angle is reduced further, to 115.4°.



Nitrite ion with an O-N-O bond angle of 115.4° (according to Gillespie and Hargittai).

### In what other ways does NO<sub>2</sub> differ from CO<sub>2</sub>?

The odd electron extra makes  $NO_2$  a free radical, and so much more reactive than  $CO_2$ . One obvious example lies in what happens when  $NO_2$  is cooled.

 $2 \text{ NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ 

<complex-block>

If you cool NO<sub>2</sub> gas down, its colour gets much paler. Eventually it changes from a brown gas to a colourless liquid. Two NO<sub>2</sub> radicals have each donated their unpaired electron to form a rather weak N-N covalent bond, linking them to make a  $N_2O_4$  molecule. Conversely, when the liquid  $N_2O_4$  is warmed up, it boils at 21°C forming a brown gas, containing some NO<sub>2</sub>; the more it is warmed, the browner it gets until by 140°C all the  $N_2O_4$  has split into NO<sub>2</sub>. The process is quite reversible; the equilibrium can be shifted by changing the pressure on a mixture of those gases, so at high pressure the colour gets paler as NO<sub>2</sub> is converted to  $N_2O_4$ .

 $2 \text{ NO}_2 \implies \text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ 

## Are there any other differences between NO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>?

Nitrogen is a very unreactive element, but during thunderstorms the temperature close to a lightning strike is several thousand degrees, quite hot enough to make nitrogen and oxygen molecules react to form NO.

$$N_2 + O_2 \ \rightarrow \ 2 \ NO$$

As the air cools, the NO reacts with more oxygen to turn into  $NO_2$ . This reaction is reversed on heating.

$$2 \text{ NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ NO}_2$$

The first reaction also occurs in internal combustion engines, where the temperature reaches around 2000°C, then leading to release of cooled gases containing  $NO_2$  into the exhaust system and potentially into the atmosphere (see image, right).

One of the jobs of an automobile catalytic converter is to break down nitrogen oxides (whether NO or NO<sub>2</sub>) into nontoxic gases, nitrogen and oxygen.

$$2NO_x \rightarrow xO_2 + N_2$$

Nitrogen oxides are often referred to collectively as  $NO_{\chi}$ .





Brown smog over Sao Paulo, Brazil.

In the days before catalytic converters, any  $NO_x$  from car engines went straight into the atmosphere. From a distance, the air in some big "polluted" cities today can still have a brown tint. Obviously, this is bad, because of the toxicity of the  $NO_2$ , but it also causes other pollutants. In the presence of oxygen, sunlight turns unburnt hydrocarbons from car exhausts into molecules like aldehydes and ketones; on further reaction, they form peroxyacyl radicals, which react with  $NO_2$  forming peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs).

## Nothing to do with the Greek God?

No, on the right is acetylperoxyacylnitrate, the most common PAN.

These are the molecules which can make your eyes water on a busy urban street on a hot, sunny, summer's day. Plant emissions of isoprene get turned into methylvinyl ketone and thence into PANs. PANs are said to damage vegetation and to cause skin cancer. They are also involved in the formation of ozone up in the troposphere.



## Does NO<sub>2</sub> have any uses at all, or is it just nasty?

Liquified NO<sub>2</sub>, which of course is the dimer N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, was the fuel oxidant, one component that powered the United States' Titan rockets, used to send the 1960s Project Gemini manned flights into space. Subsequently the Titans launched the unmanned probes to Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune. When the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was mixed with the other component, a combination of hydrazine and 1,1dimethylhydrazine, the fuel spontaneously ignited forming a lot of very hot steam,  $CO_2$  and N<sub>2</sub>. Blast off! Usually things went well, but an error in the final stage of the Apollo-Soyuz test project in 1975 meant that NO<sub>2</sub> entered the spacecraft, and this nearly killed the crew. The photo to the right shows a launch of a Titan rocket, with lots of brown NO<sub>2</sub> being exhausted.



#### Bibliography

- J. D Clark, *Ignition!: An informal history of liquid rocket propellants*, New Brunswick, Rutgers University Press (1972)
- N. N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw, *Chemistry of the Elements*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1st Edn., 1984, pp 508-509, 522-527.
- R. J. Gillespie and I. Hargittai, The VSEPR Model of Molecular Geometry, Boston, Allyn and Bacon, 1991, pp 85-87.
- R. P. Wayne, *Chemistry of Atmospheres*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2nd edition, 1991.
- B.W. LaFranchi, G. M. Wolfe, J. A. Thornton, S. A. Harrold, E. C. Browne, K. E. Min, P. J. Wooldridge, J. B. Gilman, W. C. Kuster, P. D. Goldan, J. A. de Gouw, M. McKay, A. H. Goldstein, X. Ren, J. Mao, and R. C. Cohen, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, (2009), 7623-7641.(peroxyacetylnitrate)
- S. He, Z. Chen and X. Zhang, Environ. Chem., 8, (2011), 529-542 (photochemical reactions of methyl and ethyl nitrate)
- http://www.epa.gov/airtrends/aqtrnd96/brochure/no2.html (toxicity)

Back to Molecule of the Month page. [DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.5255788]

00 (85 (3